

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,971	01/26/2004	Berton L. Vicars	926512-95494	9309
7:	90 03/16/2005		EXAM	NER
James B. Conte BARNES & THORNBURG.			LEE, KE	VIN L
P.O. Box 2798	IORNBURG.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60690-2798			3753	

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/764,971	VICARS, BERTON L.	VICARS, BERTON L.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	KEVIN L LEE	3753		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	24 January 2005.			
· · · · -	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un	•	• •		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) 2 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subject t	hdrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	,	• • •).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the copies of the priority document of the copies of the copies of the application from the International B	ments have been received. ments have been received in a priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage		
* See the attached detailed Office action for Attachment(s)	a list of the certified copies no	received.		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper No	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment filed January 24, 2005 has been thoroughly reviewed and considered by the examiner. In view of applicant's amendment, the prior rejections of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph and 35 U.S.C. 101 are hereby withdrawn. In regards to applicant's arguments concerning the rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Surjaatmadja (U.S. Patent No. 5,226,445). applicant's arguments are now moot in view of the amendment canceling claim 1 and the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Surjaatmadja in view of Baranowski, Jr. (U.S. Patent No. 3,698,427). The valve of Surjaatmadja discloses a discharge valve assembly, the valve assembly comprising a piston (22) and a stem (56) extending away from the head and a socket (60). Surjaatmadja teaches that the bottom surface of the piston can be convex across its entirety, col. 3, lines 45-61. The socket (60) is illustrated in Figure 2 to have a

Art Unit: 3753

passageway therethrough for the flow of fluid from one side of the valve to the other. Surjaatmadja is silent on the passageway other than the illustration of Figure 2. It is not known if there is more than one passageway in the socket. The patent to Baranowski. Jr. teaches providing a plurality of passageways (5') in a socket (5) which acts as a guide for the stem of the valve member, col. 2, lines 59-66. In view of the teaching of Baranowski, Jr., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the valve of Surjaatmadja to include a plurality of apertures in the socket to increase the fluid flow capacity past the valve when the valve is moved to the open position. Adding another aperture to the socket would not produce an unexpected or unobvious result.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 2 is allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3753

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KEVIN L LEE whose telephone number is (571) 272-4915. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, GENE MANCENE can be reached on (571) 272-4930. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,971

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

MARCH 15, 2005

Page 5